IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 26331 of 1999

Between:

Smt.Haridasu Sampooranamma, W/o. Venkateswara Rao,
R/o. Ankamma Nagar, 1st Line, Guntur-4.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs,

North Block, New Delhi.
2 The Deputy Secretary to Govt. of India, Ministry of Home

Affairs, Loknayak Bhavan, Khan Market(FF.Cell),

New Delhi-110003
3 The Principal Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department (FF.1), A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad-22.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the application of the petitioner dt. 5-3-1982 and my representation dated 19-7-99 for sanction of central Government pension under Swatantra Sainik Sanman Pension Scheme, 1980 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural Justice besides violative of Art. 14 of the Constitution of India and Consequently direct the respondent No. 1 and 2 to consider the application of the petitioner for sanction of Central Government Pension.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.P.RATTAIAH (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondents : Mr. A.Rajasekhar Reddy (SC for CG) .

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The Writ Petition was admitted on 24.12.1999. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned. It was listed before this Court on 23.9.2004. Learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption “For Dismissal”. Today, though the matter is appearing under the caption “For Dismissal”, learned counsel for the petitioner is absent and there is no representation. It shows that the petitioner’s counsel has no interest to prosecute the case properly.

The Writ Petition is, therefore, dismissed for default/ non-prosecution.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

30-9-2004.

Msr.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs,

North Block, New Delhi.
2 The Deputy Secretary to Govt. of India, Ministry of Home

Affairs, Loknayak Bhavan, Khan Market(FF.Cell),

New Delhi-110003
3 The Principal Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department (FF.1), A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad-22.

4. 2CD copies

5. 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

WRIT PETITION No.26331 of 1999

30-09-2004.

(Msr)